

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v9.i2.33

**Observational Study** 

World J Clin Oncol 2018 April 10; 9(2): 33-41

ISSN 2218-4333 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Clinicopathological predictors of long-term benefit in breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Marco Galvez, Carlos A Castaneda, Joselyn Sanchez, Miluska Castillo, Lia Pamela Rebaza, Gabriela Calderon, Miguel De La Cruz, Jose Manuel Cotrina, Julio Abugattas, Jorge Dunstan, Henry Guerra, Omar Mejia, Henry L Gomez

Marco Galvez, Carlos A Castaneda, Henry L Gomez, Department of Medical Oncology, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas, Lima 15038, Peru

Carlos A Castaneda, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Peruana San Juan Bautista, Lima 15067, Peru

Joselyn Sanchez, Miluska Castillo, Lia Pamela Rebaza, Omar Mejia, Department of Research, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas, Lima 15038, Peru

Gabriela Calderon, Miguel De La Cruz, Jose Manuel Cotrina, Julio Abugattas, Jorge Dunstan, Department of Breast Cancer Surgery, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas, Lima 15038, Peru

Henry Guerra, Department of Pathology, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas, Lima 15038, Peru

ORCID number: Marco Galvez (0000-0002-1408-4474); Carlos A Castaneda (0000-0001-6200-0856); Joselyn Sanchez (0000-0002-6764-4180); Miluska Castillo (0000-0002-0111-3176); Lia Pamela Rebaza (0000-0002-8327-6146); Gabriela Calderon (0000-0002-2500-8493); Miguel De La Cruz (0000-0003-4405-3991); Jose Manuel Cotrina (0000-0002-8330-803X); Julio Abugattas (0000-0002-9806-0989); Jorge Dunstan (0000-0002-4148-6858); Henry Guerra (0000-0002-4894-5631); Omar Mejia (0000-0002-6196-3594); Henry L Gomez (0000-0003-2660-1843).

Author contributions: Galvez M, Castaneda CA and Rebaza LP contributed to the conception and design of the study, performed data analysis and interpretation; Galvez M, Castaneda CA, Sanchez J, Castillo M, Rebaza LP and Mejia O performed data acquisition, as well as provided administrative, technical and material support; all authors drafted the article and made critical revisions related to the intellectual content of the manuscript, and approved the final version of the article to be published.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas Institutional Review Board. Personal and filiation data including identity of every patient was protected with an added code in the Excel table. This is a retrospective case series that did not have any activity or contact with the patients.

Informed consent statement: Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used anonymous clinical data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All of the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Correspondence to: Carlos A Castaneda, MD, MSc, Department of Medical Oncology, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas, Av. Angamos Este 2520 Surquillo, Lima 15038, Peru. ccastaneda@inen.sld.pe Telephone: +51-1-6204991 Fax: +51-1-6204991

Received: June 28, 2017 Peer-review started: July 3, 2017 First decision: December 7, 2017 Revised: December 19, 2017 Accepted: February 5, 2018 Article in press: February 5, 2018 Published online: April 10, 2018

WJCO | www.wjgnet.com

## Abstract

## AIM

To investigate the survival impact of clinicopathological factors, including pathological complete response (pCR) and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (sTIL) levels according to subtypes, in breast cancer (BC) patients who received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy (NAC).

## **METHODS**

We evaluated 435 BC patients who presented and received NAC at the Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas from 2003 to 2014. sTIL was analyzed as the proportion of tumor stroma occupied by lymphocytes, and was prospectively evaluated on hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections of the preNAC core biopsy. pCR was considered in the absence of infiltrating cancer cells in primary tumor and axillary lymph nodes. Analysis of statistical association between clinical pathological features, sTIL, pCR and survival were carried out using SPSSvs19.

## RESULTS

Median age was 49 years (range 24-84 years) and the most frequent clinical stage was IIIB (58.3%). Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2-enriched and (triple-negative) TN phenotype was found in 24.6%, 37.9%, 17.7% and 19.8%, respectively. pCR was observed in 11% and median percentage of sTIL was 40% (2%-95%) in the whole population. pCR was associated to Ct1-2 (P = 0.045) and to high sTIL (P = 0.029) in the whole population. There was a slight trend towards significance for sTIL (P = 0.054) in Luminal A. sTIL was associated with grade III (P < 0.001), no-Luminal A subtype (P < 0.001), RE-negative (P < 0.001), PgRnegative (P < 0.001), HER2-positive (P = 0.002) and pCR (P = 0.029) in the whole population. Longer disease-free survival was associated with grade I - II (P = 0.006), cN0 (P < 0.001), clinical stage II (P = 0.004), ER-positive (P < 0.001), PgR-positive (P < 0.001), luminal A (P < 0.001) and pCR (P = 0.002). Longer disease-free survival was associated with grade I - II in Luminal A (P < 0.001), N0-1 in Luminal A (P = 0.045) and TNBC (P = 0.01), clinical stage II in Luminal A (P= 0.003) and TNBC (P = 0.038), and pCR in TNBC (P< 0.001). Longer overall survival was associated with grade I-II (P < 0.001), ER-positive (P < 0.001), PgRpositive (P < 0.001), Luminal A (P < 0.001), cN0 (P =0.002) and pCR (P = 0.002) in the whole population. Overall survival was associated with clinical stage II (P = 0.017) in Luminal A, older age (P = 0.042) in Luminal B, and pCR in TNBC (P = 0.005).

## CONCLUSION

Predictive and prognostic values of clinicopathological features, like pCR and sTIL, differ depending on the evaluated molecular subtype.

**Key words:** Breast cancer; Subtype; Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; Neoadjuvant therapy; Pathological complete response; Survival

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** The authors evaluated a series of 435 breast cancer (BC) patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. They evaluated the association between stromal tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes levels and pCR in preneoadjuvant chemotherapy samples according to molecular subtypes. The results confirm differences in the predictive and prognostic role of stromal tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and pathological complete response depending on the tumor subtype. Additionally, the authors evaluate the value of traditional prognostic features in every BC subset. The results increase the understanding of biomarkers in the heterogeneous scenario of BC.

Galvez M, Castaneda CA, Sanchez J, Castillo M, Rebaza LP, Calderon G, De La Cruz M, Cotrina JM, Abugattas J, Dunstan J, Guerra H, Mejia O, Gomez HL. Clinicopathological predictors of long-term benefit in breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *World J Clin Oncol* 2018; 9(2): 33-41 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v9/i2/33.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v9.i2.33

## INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is the second most common cancer in the world and the most frequent cancer among women, with an estimated 1.67 million new cancer cases diagnosed in 2012 (25% of all cancers), and is the fifth cause of death from cancer overall (522000 deaths)<sup>[1]</sup>. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) is the standard therapy for locally advanced BC and could improve both surgical options and longterm outcome<sup>[2]</sup>. Response to NAC is considered an in vivo test of tumor sensitivity to NAC, and the achievement of a pathological complete response (pCR) is associated with longer disease-free survival (DFS) and greater overall survival (OS)<sup>[3-7]</sup>. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) serve to evaluate the host immune system response against a tumor and also constitutes a valuable predictive biomarker of NAC response and survival<sup>[8-11]</sup>.

BC is a heterogeneous disease, and intrinsically different subtypes of BC have been identified in the past years based on gene expression profiles and on the combined immunohistochemical status of hormone and HER2 receptors. Responsiveness to preoperative therapies and outcome after surgery can be predicted by BC subtypes<sup>[12-14]</sup>.

In this study, we investigated the survival impact of different clinicopathological factors, including pCR and TIL levels, according to the subtypes in BC patients who received NAC. The predictive role of different clinicopathological features for having high density TIL and obtaining pCR according to subtypes was also



determined.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

We found 435 patients diagnosed with BC at clinical stage  $\, {\mathbb I}\, B$  to  $\, {\mathbb I}\, C$  at the Medical Department of the Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplasicas from 2003 to 2014. Eligibility criteria for this retrospective study were a histological diagnosis based on a core needle biopsy, having received NAC regimen and having undergone surgery after NAC. Patient characteristics such as age, clinical stage, histological subtype and grade, presence of estrogen receptors (ERs), progesterone receptors (PgRs) and HER2, and molecular subtype was obtained from the pathology report of preNAC core biopsy. pCR was defined as absence of invasive cancer in the breast and axillary nodes, irrespective of carcinoma in situ (ypT0/is ypN0), as previously described<sup>[4,15]</sup>. Phenotype classification was prospectively concluded through the evaluation of ER, PgR, HER2 and Ki67 as well as histological grade (in cases without Ki67 information): Luminal A (ER  $\geq$ 10%, PgR  $\geq$  20%, HER2-negative and Ki67 < 15% or HG-I-II), Luminal B (ER  $\geq$  10% and any PgR < 20%, HER2-positive, Ki67 < 15% or HG-Ⅲ), HER2-enriched (ER < 10%, PgR < 10% and HER2-positive) and triplenegative (TN) (ER < 10%, PgR < 10% and HER2negative). Stromal (s)TIL was prospectively evaluated in preNAC core biopsy and was defined as percentage of stromal area covered by lymphocytes<sup>[16]</sup>.

Follow-up and recurrence information (date and location) were obtained from patient files. Time-fromlast-chemotherapy-to-surgery was considered as the number of months from the date of the last NAC administration to surgery of the primary tumor. OS was calculated from surgery date of the primary breast tumor to death or last follow-up date, and DFS was calculated from surgery date of the primary breast tumor to recurrence or last follow-up date.

## Statistical analysis

Categorical comparisons and association analysis between clinical pathological features and pCR were carried out using the chi-square statistic or Fisher's exact test. Survival analysis, regarding OS and DFS, was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences between curves were estimated by logrank test. In all cases, the level of alpha was set at 0.05 *a priori*. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v19 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

## RESULTS

## Clinicopathological description

There were 435 patients included in this study, with median age at diagnosis of 49 years (range: 24-84 years), median tumor size of 6.5 cm (range: 1.0-24.0 cm), T3 in 27.8% and T4 in 63.9%. Inflammatory

disease was found in 29.2%. The most frequent clinical stages were IIIB (60.5%) and IIIA (18.6%). Ductal histology was found in 93.3%, high grade in 52.2%, ER+ status in 62.8%, PgR+ status in 51% and HER2+++ in 32.4%. Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2enriched and TN phenotype was found in 24.6%, 37.9%, 17.7% and 19.8%, respectively. The most frequent NACs were doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide for 4 cycles followed by 12 weekly paclitaxel (67.18%), doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide for 4 cycles followed by every 3 wk paclitaxel in 4 cycles (18.85%) and doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide for 4 cycles alone (7.32%). The median time from the last chemotherapy to surgery was 63 d (maximum: 982 d). pCR was observed in 48 (11%) patients. Median percentage of sTILs was 40% (2%-95%) in the entire population and 70% (60%-95%) in patients with pCR. Recurrence was found in 35.7%. Median DFS was 7.54 and median OS was 5.16 years (95%CI: 4.16-6.15 years) (Table 1).

## Clinicopathological factors associated to pCR according to BC subtypes

Association analysis found that pCR was associated with T1-2 (P = 0.045) and to high sTIL level (P = 0.029) in the entire population (Table 1). Higher sTIL level had a slight trend towards association with pCR (P = 0.054) in Luminal A, and smaller tumor size had a trend towards association with pCR (P = 0.098) in Luminal A. Clinical involvement of axillary lymph nodes was not associated to variation of pCR (Table 2). An additional analysis by level of axillary involvement found that N2-3 had lower rates of pCR than N0-1 only in TNBC (P = 0.018).

## Clinicopathological factors associated with sTIL according to BC subtypes

Association analysis found that sTIL level was associated with grade III (P < 0.001), no-Luminal A subtype (P < 0.001), ER-negative (P < 0.001), PgR-negative (P < 0.001), HER2-positive (P = 0.002) and pCR (P = 0.029) in the entire population (Table 1). Within each BC subtype, sTIL level remained associated with grade III in Luminal B (P = 0.011) and TN (P = 0.006) subtypes, as well as cN+ in Luminal B (P = 0.02) (Table 3).

## Prognostic clinicopathological factors according to BC subtypes

Survival analysis found longer DFS was associated with grade I - II (P = 0.006), cN0 (P < 0.001), clinical stage II (P = 0.004), ER-positive (P < 0.001), PgR-positive (P < 0.001), Luminal A (P < 0.001) and pCR (P = 0.002). Longer DFS was associated with grade I - II in Luminal A (P = 0.033), N0-1 in Luminal A (P = 0.045) and TNBC (P = 0.01), clinical stage II in Luminal A (P = 0.003) and TNBC (P = 0.038), and pCR in TNBC (P = 0.001) (Table 1).



### Galvez M et al. Biomarkers in BC with neoadjuvance

### Table 1 Clinical-pathological features n (%) $sTIL \ge 50\%$ **Overall Survival** Cases P value DCR P value P value **Progression free** P value at 5 yr (OS = 50.1%) survival at 5 yr 435 181 48 (DFS = 57.8%)47 (28-80) 49 (24-84) Age (yr), median (range) 49 (24-84) 0.923 0.472 0.512 0.833 48.8% 59.7% < 50 231 (53.1) 96 (35.2) 28 (12.1) $\geq 50$ 204 (46.9) 85 (36.7) 20 (9.8) 51.7% 55.9% Histological subtypes 0.928 0.234 0.512 0.497 Ductal 406 (93.3) 169 (43.6) 43 (10.6) 49.0% 57.5% Lobular 21 (4.8) 7 (36.8) 2 (9.5) 61.0% 55.2% Others 8 (1.8) 5 (62.5) 3 (37.5) Histological grade < 0.001 0.170 0.001 0.006 200 (46.0) 59 (32.6) 17 (8.5) 571% 64.6% G1-G2 G3 227 (52.2) 119 (65.7) 29 (12.8) 42.8% 52.2% NR 8 (1.8) 3 (1.7) 2 (25) 83.3% 45.7% ER < 0.001 0.098 < 0.001 0.000 162 (37.2) 89 (57.8) 23 (14.2) 47.1% No 36.1% Yes 273 (62.8) 92 (35.2) 25 (9.2) 58.2% 64.3% PgR 0.003 0 246 < 0.001 0.000 No 213 (49) 104 (51.0) 27 (12.7) 41.0% 50.0% Yes 222 (51) 77 (36.5) 21 (9.5) 584% 64.8% HER2 0.002 0.135 0.334 0.135 No 294 (67.6) 106 (38.3) 28 (9.5) 53.7% 60.4% 141 (32.4) 75 (54.3) 20 (14.2) 40.8% 52.3% Yes Molecular subtypes < 0.001 0.233 < 0.001 < 0.001 Luminal A 107 (24.6) 30 (29.7) 13 (12) 72.0% 76.1% Luminal B 165 (37.9) 61 (38.4) 12 (7) 50.6% 57.7% HER2-enriched 77 (17.7) 50 (66.7) 10 (13) 41.5% 54.9% Triple-Negative 86 (19.8) 40 (50.0) 13 (15) 32.5% 40.3% Tumor size (cm) 0.183 0.019 0.490 0.250 Median (range) 6.5 (1-24) 6.5 (1-16) 6.0 (2-15) сТ cT1-cT2 36 (8.3) 19 (54.3) 8 (22.2) 55.0% 69.2% cT3-cT4 399 (91.7) 162 (42.6) 40 (10) 49.6% 56.8% cN 0.084 0.743 0.007 0.001 cN0 83 (19.1) 28 (35.0) 10 (12) 65.8% 77.0% cN1-cN2-cN3 352 (80.9) 153 (45.7) 38 (10.8) 47.2% 54.2% Clinical stage 0.192 0.088 0.155 0.004 Π 72 (16.6) 26 (36.6) 12 (16.7) 62.1% 74.3% Ш 363 (83.4) 155 (45.1) 36 (9.9) 48.1% 55.4% sTIL% 0.002 0.5980.747 Median (range) 40 (2-95) 70 (60-95) 65 (5-95) < 50% 266 (61.1) 20 (7.5) 49.6% 55 7% 0 (0) ≥ 50% 149 (34.3) 181 (100) 26 (17.4) 53.9% 63.1% Missing data 20 (4.6) 20 (0) 2 (10) TLCS (d) 0.411 0.633 0.317 0.156 Median (range) 63 (5-982) 58 (8-982) 65 (8-281) Shorter than median 207 (47.6) 91 (45.5) 22 (10.6) 48.5% 55.0% Longer than median 211 (48.5) 82 (41.4) 26 (12.3) 56.7% 61.2% Missing data 17.6% 17 (3.9) 8 (47.1) 0 (0) 46.3% pCR 0.029 0.002 0.002 47.4% 55.1% No 387 (89) 154 (41.7) 0(0)Yes 48 (11) 27 (58.7) 48 (100) 85.1% 84.9% Relapse 0.895 < 0.001 < 0.001 No 284 (65.3) 118 (43.4) 42 (14.8) 81.6% Yes 151 (34.7) 63 (44.1) 8.58% 6(4)

TIL: Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; pCR: Pathological complete response; OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease free survival; PgR: Progesterone; TLCS: Time-From-Last-Chemotherapy-To-Surgery.

Longer OS was associated with grade I - II (P < 0.001), ER-positive (P < 0.001), PgR-positive (P < 0.001), Luminal A (P < 0.001), cN0 (P = 0.007) and pCR (P = 0.002) in the entire population. It was also associated with older age in Luminal B (P = 0.042), to clinical stage II in Luminal A (P = 0.017), and to cN0 (P = 0.045) and pCR in TNBC (P = 0.005) (Figure 1). Differences in TILs did not affect survival in the entire

nor molecular subtype populations (Table 1 and Figure 2).

## DISCUSSION

The biological heterogeneity of BC has been extensively described, and differences between intrinsic subtypes have been confirmed in the recent decade. We explored differences in the survival impact

		Lum A		Lum B				HER2			TN	
	Total	pCR	P value	Total	pCR	P value	Total	pCR	P value	Total	pCR	P value
	1 <b>07</b>	13		165	12		77	10		86	13	
Age (yr)			1.000			0.315			0.507			0.157
median (range)	47 (28-75)	46 (28-62)		51 (25-84)	52 (39-69)		51 (28-80)	46 (29-80)		49 (26-73)	45 (28-68)	
< 50	72 (67)	9 (13)		78 (48)	4 (5)		37 (48)	6 (16.2)		44 (48)	9 (20)	
$\geq 50$	35 (33)	4 (11)		87 (52)	8 (9)		40 (52)	4 (10)		42 (52)	4 (10)	
Histological subtypes			0.349			1.000			0.434			0.392
Ductal	97 (91)	11 (11)		153 (93)	11 (7)		73 (95)	9 (12.3)		83 (97)	12 (14)	
Lobular and others	10 (9)	2 (20)		12 (7)	1 (8)		4 (5)	1 (25)		3 (3)	1 (33)	
Histological			-			0.213			0.266			1.000
grade												
G1-G2	103 (97)	12 (12)		61 (39)	2 (3)		23 (30)	1 (4.3)		13 (15)	2 (15)	
G3	-	-		102 (61)	10 (10)		53 (69)	9 (17)		72 (85)	10 (14)	
NR	4 (3)	1 (25)		2 (1)	0 (0)		1 (1)	0 (0)		1 (0)	1 (100)	
Tumor size			0.102			0.213			0.511			0.620
(cm)												
Median	6 (2-15)	5 (2-9)		7 (2-20)	6 (2-12)		7 (2.5-14)	6 (4-12)		7 (1-24)	8 (3-15)	
(range)												
cT1-cT2	10 (7)	3 (30)		12 (7)	2 (17)		5 (6)	1 (20)		9 (10)	2 (22)	
cT3-cT4	97 (93)	10 (10)		153 (93)	10 (7)		72 (94)	9 (12.5)		77 (90)	11 (14)	
cN			0.306			0.222			0.270			0.021
cN0	27 (23)	5 (19)		28 (18)	0 (0)		53 (69)	5 (9.4)		14 (14)	4 (29)	
cN1-cN2-cN3	80 (77)	8 (10)		137 (82)	12 (9)		24 (31)	5 (20.8)		72 (86)	9 (13)	
Clinical stage			0.471			0.652			1.000			0.122
EC II	23 (20)	4 (17)		21 (12)	2 (10)		11 (14)	1 (9.1)		17 (16)	5 (29)	
EC III	84 (80)	9 (11)		144 (88)	10 (7)		66 (86)	9 (13.6)		69 (84)	8 (12)	
sTIL%			0.054			0.750			0.150			1.000
Median (range)	30 (2-90)	50 (10-90)		40 (5-90)	30 (8-90)		60 (5-95)	80 (30-95)		45 (2-90)	50 (5-80)	
< 50	71 (69)	6 (8)		98 (60)	6 (6)		25 (32)	1 (4)		40 (47)	6 (15)	
$\geq 50$	30 (24)	7 (23)		61 (37)	5 (8)		50 (66)	9 (18)		40 (47)	6 (15)	
Missing data	6 (6)	0 (0)		6 (3)	1 (17)		2 (3)	0 (0)		6 (7)	1 (17)	
TLCS (d)			0.233			0.238			0.744			0.500
Median (range)	67 (14-458)	80 (16-281)		61 (5-412)	54 (8-140)		60 (11-240)	66 (37-106)		64 (8-982)	66 (14-122)	
Shorter than median	49 (48)	4 (8)		77 (45)	8 (10)		41 (53)	5 (12.2)		40 (48)	5 (13)	
Longer than	57 (51)	9 (16)		76 (47)	4 (5)		33 (43)	5 (15.2)		45 (51)	8 (18)	

TIL: Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; TLCS: Time-From-Last-Chemotherapy-To-Surgery.

0.121

12 (8)

109 (65)

56 (35)

0 (0)

9 (8)

3 (5)

3 (4)

46 (60)

31 (40)

0.753

0 (0)

8 (17.4)

2 (6.5)

0 (0)

13 (15)

0 (0)

of tumor features, including pCR and TIL levels in each of the four molecular subtypes. Rates of pCR are lower in Luminal-A (9.2%), HER2-enriched (13%) and TNBC (15.3%) subtypes. pCR is also associated with longer survival in the entire population as well as in TNBC (pCR = 92.3% vs not pCR = 26.5% 5-year OS, P = 0.005; and trend in Luminal A, Luminal B and HER2-enriched phenotypic subsets of our series). It is widely assumed that patients who achieve pCR have significantly better DFS and OS rates in all molecular subtypes<sup>[12-14,17-19]</sup>. von Minckwitz et al<sup>[6]</sup> found pCR was not associated with prognosis only in Luminal A tumors in a series of 6377 patients with anthracycline-taxanebased NAC from 7 randomized trials; some authors claim it is related to the observed continuous tumor shrinkage occurring in their ER-positive tumor group during extended NAC, different than early and shortperiod tumor shrinkage observed in the ER-negative group<sup>[6,18-24]</sup>.

0.300

1(1)

42 (41)

44 (59)

0 (0)

12 (29)

1 (2)

< 0.001

pCR was more frequent in small tumors for both the entire population and the Luminal A subtype in our series. This finding is concordant with the previously mentioned idea that the effect of chemotherapy in Luminal A is slower than in other subtypes. Besides, Baron et al<sup>[18]</sup> found a similar lower rate of pCR in tumor size larger than 5 cm (P = 0.022) in their entire series (n = 608), but no association in the Luminal setting (P = 0.411). Higher grade of axillary involvement (cN2-3) was associated with lower rates of pCR only in the TNBC subset of our series. This lower response in bulky metastases could explain the previously described TNBC paradox phenomena of higher pCR rates but also higher distant relapse<sup>[21]</sup>.

pCR was associated with higher percentage of



median Missing data

Relapse

No

Yes

1(1)

87 (79)

20 (21)

### Galvez M et al. Biomarkers in BC with neoadjuvance

Table 3 Association between percentage of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and clinical-pathological features regarding molecular subtype n (%)

	Lum A			Lum B			HFR2			TN		
	< 50%	≥ 50%	<b>P</b> value	< 50%	≥ 50%	<i>P</i> value	< 50%	≥ 50%	<i>P</i> value	< 50%	≥ 50%	<b>P</b> value
	71	30		98	61		25	50		40	40	
Age (yr)			0.181			0.783			0.624			0.074
Median (range)	47 (28-75)	47 (36-74)		52 (28-73)	50 (25-84)		52 (28-66)	49 (29-80)		51 (26-73)	45 (27-73)	
< 50	50 (70)	17 (57)		46 (47)	30 (49)		11 (44)	25 (50)		16 (40)	24 (60)	
$\geq 50$	21 (30)	13 (43)		52 (53)	31 (51)		14 (56)	25 (50)		24 (60)	16 (40)	
Histological			0.445			1.000			0.597			1.000
subtypes												
Ductal	66 (93)	26 (87)		91 (93)	57 (93)		23 (92)	48 (96)		39 (98)	38 (95)	
Lobular and	5 (7)	4 (13)		7 (7)	4 (7)		2 (8)	2 (4)		1 (3)	2 (5)	
others												
Histological			-			0.011			0.514			0.006
grade												
G1-G2	69 (97)	28 (93)		43 (44)	15 (25)		9 (36)	14 (28)		11 (28)	2 (5)	
G3	0 (0)	0 (0)		53 (54)	46 (75)		16 (64)	35 (71)		29 (73)	38 (95)	
NR	2 (3)	2 (7)		2 (2)	0 (0)		0 (0)	1 (2)		0 (0)	0 (0)	
Tumor size												
(cm)												
Median (range)	6 (3-13)	6 (2-15)		6 (3-20)	7 (2-15)		7 (3-14)	7 (3-14)		7 (4-24)	7 (1-16)	
сT			1.000			0.538			0.659			0.263
cT1-cT2	7 (10)	3 (10)		6 (6)	6 (10)		1 (4)	4 (8)		2 (5)	6 (15)	
cT3-cT4	64 (90)	27 (90)		92 (94)	55 (90)		24 (96)	46 (92)		38 (95)	34 (85)	
cN			0.890			0.020			0.631			0.762
cN0	18 (25)	8 (27)		22 (22)	5 (8)		6 (24)	8 (16)		6 (15)	7 (18)	
cN1-cN2-cN3	53 (75)	22 (73)		76 (78)	56 (92)		11 (44)	27 (54)		34 (85)	33 (83)	
Clinical Stage			0.666			0.141			0.742			0.576
EC II	17 (24)	6 (20)		16 (16)	5 (8)		3 (12)	8 (16)		9 (23)	7 (18)	
EC III	54 (76)	24 (80)		82 (84)	56 (92)		22 (88)	42 (84)		31 (78)	33 (83)	
TLCS (d)			0.631			0.882			0.502			0.141
Median (range)	64 (14-449)	70 (19-458)		61 (5-412)	58 (8-285)		68 (16-234)	56 (11-240)		74 (24-230)	51 (14-982)	
Shorter than	34 (48)	13 (43)		48 (49)	28 (46)		12 (48)	28 (56)		15 (38)	22 (55)	
median												
Longer than	36 (51)	17 (57)		44 (45)	27 (44)		12 (48)	20 (40)		24 (60)	18 (45)	
median												
Missing data	1 (1)	0 (0)		6 (6)	6 (10)		1 (4)	2 (4)		1 (3)	0 (0)	
pCR			0.054			0.750			0.150			1.000
No	65 (92)	23 (77)		92 (94)	56 (92)		24 (96)	41 (82)		34 (85)	34 (85)	
Yes	6 (8)	7 (23)		6 (6)	5 (8)		1 (4)	9 (18)		6 (15)	6 (15)	
Relapse			0.450			0.201			0.737			0.502
No	59 (83)	23 (77)		61 (62)	44 (72)		16 (64)	30 (60)		18 (45)	21 (53)	
Yes	12 (17)	7 (23)		37 (38)	17 (28)		9 (36)	20 (40)		22 (55)	19 (48)	

%sTIL was performed over 415 cases. There 20 missed values. TIL: Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; TLCS: Time-From-Last-Chemotherapy-To-Surgery.

sTILs in the entire population and also within the HER2-enriched subtype (P = 0.02). A trend towards association was found in Luminal A, Luminal B and TNBC. Different studies have found that high TIL levels in preNAC samples are associated to higher pCR rates in the entire BC population<sup>[25-27]</sup>. Wang *et al*<sup>[28]</sup> performed a meta-analysis with 23 studies including 13100 BC patients, and similarly found that high TIL level was associated with improved pCR rate in the entire population, and in HER2 and TNBC. A high TIL level significantly predicted longer OS in the entire population (P < 0.001) and in patients with HER2-positive (P = 0.005) BC and in TNBC patients (P < 0.001).

TIL showed association with grade III tumors in the entire population and in Luminal B and TNBC subsets in our series. Similarly, Pruneri *et al*<sup>[29]</sup> describes that higher TIL levels have a trend towards association with HG3 (P = 0.052) and was associated to Ki67  $\geq$ 

50% (P < 0.0001) in a series of 897 TNBC cases, and could reflect the appearance of a larger amount of neoantigens that elicit an immunomediated response. Involvement of axillary lymph nodes was associated to higher TIL levels only in the Luminal B subset. High density of TILs has previously been described as associated to absence of lymph node involvement in the entire population of BC, and our results indicate that this association could differ by some subtypes<sup>[30]</sup>. Higher level of sTILs was not associated to longer survival in the entire population nor in any subtype in our series. This finding could be explained by the small size of our series and because the highest impact of TILs is over pCR instead of survival.

Our study has some limitations. First, because of the retrospective design of the study, different chemotherapy schemas were used depending on the oncologist decision and surgical election depending



Figure 1 Overall survival regarding tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (cut-off: 50%) for Luminal A (A), Luminal B (B), HER2-enriched (C) and Triple Negative group (D).



Figure 2 Overall survival regarding pathological complete response for Luminal A (A), Luminal B (B), HER2-enriched (C) and triple negative group (D).

WJCO www.wjgnet.com

159

Galvez M et al. Biomarkers in BC with neoadjuvance

on surgeon. Second, the sample sizes of each BC subgroup are rather small, so the prognostic impact of every clinicopathological feature in each BC subtype should be investigated in a larger population in subsequent studies. Despite these limitations, this is the first comprehensive report of the NAC effect over breast molecular subtypes in a Latin-American population.

## **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

## Research background

Breast cancer can be classified into Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2-enriched and triple-negative. Clinicopathological features can identify breast cancer prognosis and include pathological complete response (tumor sensibility to chemotherapy) and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs; host activity against the tumor).

## **Research motivation**

Discussion and new information about molecular breast cancer subtypes have been included in the most relevant cancer-related meeting, and more than 30,000 articles have been published in the last 2 years. Two biomarkers, pathological complete response (pCR) and TILs, have been re-defined and gained pathologist acceptance in the last 3 years.

## **Research objectives**

The main objective is to evaluate the survival impact of different clinicopathological factors, including pCR and TIL levels, according to the subtypes in breast cancer patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

## **Research methods**

Evaluation of TIL levels was prospectively performed following international guidelines. Breast cancer cases were classified according to 2017 St Gallen Breast Cancer Meeting guidelines.

## **Research results**

pCR was associated with cT1-2 (P = 0.045) and high stromal (s)TILs (P = 0.029) in the entire population. However, this relationship was not found for every molecular subtype, probably because of the small sample size. pCR was associated with longer disease-free survival in the entire population (P = 0.002) and in TNBC (P < 0.001), as well as to longer overall survival in the entire population (P = 0.002) and in TNBC (P = 0.002).

## **Research conclusions**

Predictive and prognostic value of clinicopathological features like pCR and sTIL level differ depending on the molecular subtype being evaluated. Identification of pCR and TIL roles in every molecular subtype will allow for identification of those patients who need more intense chemotherapy and those who will benefit from an immune-modulator treatment.

## **Research perspectives**

No information about the relevance of pCR and TILs in South-American women with breast cancer have been published in. An increase in the knowledge about prognosis impact of pCR and TIL in every molecular breast cancer subtype will allow for obtaining more effective personalized therapies. Furthermore, similar analysis needs to be done with more precise methods to evaluate response to chemotherapy and host immune activity, such as tumor residual burden and CD3/CD8 ratio, respectively.

## REFERENCES

 Carbognin L, Pilotto S, Nortilli R, Brunelli M, Nottegar A, Sperduti I, Giannarelli D, Bria E, Tortora G. Predictive and Prognostic Role of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes for Early Breast Cancer According to Disease Subtypes: Sensitivity Analysis of Randomized Trials in Adjuvant and Neoadjuvant Setting. *Oncologist* 2016; **21**: 283-291 [PMID: 26865589 DOI: 10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0307]

- Vila J, Mittendorf EA, Farante G, Bassett RL, Veronesi P, Galimberti V, Peradze N, Stauder MC, Chavez-MacGregor M, Litton JF, Huo L, Kuerer HM, Hunt KK, Caudle AS. Nomograms for Predicting Axillary Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Clinically Node-Positive Patients with Breast Cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2016; 23: 3501-3509 [PMID: 27216742 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-016-5277-1]
- 3 Issa-Nummer Y, Loibl S, von Minckwitz G, Denkert C. Tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer: A new predictor for responses to therapy. *Oncoimmunology* 2014; 3: e27926 [PMID: 25340002 DOI: 10.4161/onci.27926]
- 4 Cortazar P, Zhang L, Untch M, Mehta K, Costantino JP, Wolmark N, Bonnefoi H, Cameron D, Gianni L, Valagussa P, Swain SM, Prowell T, Loibl S, Wickerham DL, Bogaerts J, Baselga J, Perou C, Blumenthal G, Blohmer J, Mamounas EP, Bergh J, Semiglazov V, Justice R, Eidtmann H, Paik S, Piccart M, Sridhara R, Fasching PA, Slaets L, Tang S, Gerber B, Geyer CE Jr, Pazdur R, Ditsch N, Rastogi P, Eiermann W, von Minckwitz G. Pathological complete response and long-term clinical benefit in breast cancer: the CTNeoBC pooled analysis. *Lancet* 2014; **384**: 164-172 [PMID: 24529560 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62422-8]
- 5 Bossuyt V, Provenzano E, Symmans WF, Boughey JC, Coles C, Curigliano G, Dixon JM, Esserman LJ, Fastner G, Kuehn T, Peintinger F, von Minckwitz G, White J, Yang W, Badve S, Denkert C, MacGrogan G, Penault-Llorca F, Viale G, Cameron D; Breast International Group-North American Breast Cancer Group (BIG-NABCG) collaboration. Recommendations for standardized pathological characterization of residual disease for neoadjuvant clinical trials of breast cancer by the BIG-NABCG collaboration. *Ann Oncol* 2015; 26: 1280-1291 [PMID: 26019189 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv161]
- 6 von Minckwitz G, Untch M, Blohmer JU, Costa SD, Eidtmann H, Fasching PA, Gerber B, Eiermann W, Hilfrich J, Huober J, Jackisch C, Kaufmann M, Konecny GE, Denkert C, Nekljudova V, Mehta K, Loibl S. Definition and impact of pathologic complete response on prognosis after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in various intrinsic breast cancer subtypes. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30**: 1796-1804 [PMID: 22508812 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.38.8595]
- 7 Denkert C, Loibl S, Noske A, Roller M, Müller BM, Komor M, Budczies J, Darb-Esfahani S, Kronenwett R, Hanusch C, von Törne C, Weichert W, Engels K, Solbach C, Schrader I, Dietel M, von Minckwitz G. Tumor-associated lymphocytes as an independent predictor of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28: 105-113 [PMID: 19917869 DOI: 10.1200/ JCO.2009.23.7370]
- 8 Ruffini E, Asioli S, Filosso PL, Lyberis P, Bruna MC, Macrì L, Daniele L, Oliaro A. Clinical significance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in lung neoplasms. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009; 87: 365-371; discussion 371-372 [PMID: 19161739 DOI: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.200 8.10.067]
- 9 Dushyanthen S, Beavis PA, Savas P, Teo ZL, Zhou C, Mansour M, Darcy PK, Loi S. Relevance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer. *BMC Med* 2015; 13: 202 [PMID: 26300242 DOI: 10.1186/s12916-015-0431-3]
- 10 Loi S. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, breast cancer subtypes and therapeutic efficacy. *Oncoimmunology* 2013; 2: e24720 [PMID: 24073365 DOI: 10.4161/onci.24720]
- 11 Stanton SE, Adams S, Disis ML. Variation in the Incidence and Magnitude of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Breast Cancer Subtypes: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Oncol* 2016; 2: 1354-1360 [PMID: 27355489 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.1061]
- 12 Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT, Johnsen H, Akslen LA, Fluge O, Pergamenschikov A, Williams C, Zhu SX, Lønning PE, Børresen-Dale AL, Brown PO, Botstein D. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* 2000; **406**: 747-752 [PMID: 10963602 DOI: 10.1038/35021093]
- 13 Rouzier R, Perou CM, Symmans WF, Ibrahim N, Cristofanilli M, Anderson K, Hess KR, Stec J, Ayers M, Wagner P, Morandi P, Fan C, Rabiul I, Ross JS, Hortobagyi GN, Pusztai L. Breast cancer molecular subtypes respond differently to preoperative chemotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; **11**: 5678-5685 [PMID: 16115903 DOI:



10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-2421]

- 14 Hugh J, Hanson J, Cheang MC, Nielsen TO, Perou CM, Dumontet C, Reed J, Krajewska M, Treilleux I, Rupin M, Magherini E, Mackey J, Martin M, Vogel C. Breast cancer subtypes and response to docetaxel in node-positive breast cancer: use of an immunohistochemical definition in the BCIRG 001 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27: 1168-1176 [PMID: 19204205 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2008.18.1024]
- 15 Pennisi A, Kieber-Emmons T, Makhoul I, Hutchins L. Relevance of Pathological Complete Response after Neoadjuvant Therapy for Breast Cancer. *Breast Cancer* (Auckl) 2016; 10: 103-106 [PMID: 27478380 DOI: 10.4137/bcbcr.s33163]
- 16 Salgado R, Denkert C, Demaria S, Sirtaine N, Klauschen F, Pruneri G, Wienert S, Van den Eynden G, Baehner FL, Penault-Llorca F, Perez EA, Thompson EA, Symmans WF, Richardson AL, Brock J, Criscitiello C, Bailey H, Ignatiadis M, Floris G, Sparano J, Kos Z, Nielsen T, Rimm DL, Allison KH, Reis-Filho JS, Loibl S, Sotiriou C, Viale G, Badve S, Adams S, Willard-Gallo K, Loi S; International TILs Working Group 2014. The evaluation of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in breast cancer: recommendations by an International TILs Working Group 2014. Ann Oncol 2015; 26: 259-271 [PMID: 25214542 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdu450]
- 17 Colleoni M, Bagnardi V, Rotmensz N, Dellapasqua S, Viale G, Pruneri G, Veronesi P, Torrisi R, Luini A, Intra M, Galimberti V, Montagna E, Goldhirsch A. A risk score to predict diseasefree survival in patients not achieving a pathological complete remission after preoperative chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2009; 20: 1178-1184 [PMID: 19218304 DOI: 10.1093/ annonc/mdn747]
- 18 Baron P, Beitsch P, Boselli D, Symanowski J, Pellicane JV, Beatty J, Richards P, Mislowsky A, Nash C, Lee LA, Murray M, de Snoo FA, Stork-Sloots L, Gittleman M, Akbari S, Whitworth P. Impact of Tumor Size on Probability of Pathologic Complete Response After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2016; 23: 1522-1529 [PMID: 26714960 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-015-5030-1]
- 19 Symmans WF, Wei C, Gould R, Yu X, Zhang Y, Liu M, Walls A, Bousamra A, Ramineni M, Sinn B, Hunt K, Buchholz TA, Valero V, Buzdar AU, Yang W, Brewster AM, Moulder S, Pusztai L, Hatzis C, Hortobagyi GN. Long-Term Prognostic Risk After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Associated With Residual Cancer Burden and Breast Cancer Subtype. *J Clin Oncol* 2017; **35**: 1049-1060 [PMID: 28135148 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2015.63.1010]
- 20 Guarneri V, Broglio K, Kau SW, Cristofanilli M, Buzdar AU, Valero V, Buchholz T, Meric F, Middleton L, Hortobagyi GN, Gonzalez-Angulo AM. Prognostic value of pathologic complete response after primary chemotherapy in relation to hormone receptor status and other factors. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24: 1037-1044 [PMID: 16505422 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2005.02.6914]
- 21 Carey LA, Dees EC, Sawyer L, Gatti L, Moore DT, Collichio F, Ollila DW, Sartor CI, Graham ML, Perou CM. The triple negative paradox: primary tumor chemosensitivity of breast cancer subtypes. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007; **13**: 2329-2334 [PMID: 17438091 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-1109]
- 22 Bear HD, Anderson S, Brown A, Smith R, Mamounas EP, Fisher

B, Margolese R, Theoret H, Soran A, Wickerham DL, Wolmark N; National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Protocol B-27. The effect on tumor response of adding sequential preoperative docetaxel to preoperative doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide: preliminary results from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Protocol B-27. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; **21**: 4165-4174 [PMID: 14559892 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2003.12.005]

- 23 Fisher B, Bryant J, Wolmark N, Mamounas E, Brown A, Fisher ER, Wickerham DL, Begovic M, DeCillis A, Robidoux A, Margolese RG, Cruz AB Jr, Hoehn JL, Lees AW, Dimitrov NV, Bear HD. Effect of preoperative chemotherapy on the outcome of women with operable breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1998; 16: 2672-2685 [PMID: 9704717 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.1998.16.8.2672]
- 24 Moon HG, Im SA, Han W, Oh DY, Han SW, Keam B, Park IA, Chang JM, Moon WK, Cho N, Noh DY. Estrogen receptor status confers a distinct pattern of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy: implications for optimal durations of therapy: distinct patterns of response according to ER expression. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012; **134**: 1133-1140 [PMID: 22752292 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-012-2145-y]
- 25 Mao Y, Qu Q, Chen X, Huang O, Wu J, Shen K. The Prognostic Value of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0152500 [PMID: 27073890 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152500]
- 26 Krishnamurti U, Wetherilt CS, Yang J, Peng L, Li X. Tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes are significantly associated with better overall survival and disease-free survival in triple-negative but not estrogen receptor-positive breast cancers. *Hum Pathol* 2017; 64: 7-12 [PMID: 28153508 DOI: 10.1016/j.humpath.2017.01.004]
- 27 Luen SJ, Salgado R, Fox S, Savas P, Eng-Wong J, Clark E, Kiermaier A, Swain SM, Baselga J, Michiels S, Loi S. Tumourinfiltrating lymphocytes in advanced HER2-positive breast cancer treated with pertuzumab or placebo in addition to trastuzumab and docetaxel: a retrospective analysis of the CLEOPATRA study. *Lancet Oncol* 2017; 18: 52-62 [PMID: 27964843 DOI: 10.1016/ S1470-2045(16)30631-3]
- 28 Wang K, Xu J, Zhang T, Xue D. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer predict the response to chemotherapy and survival outcome: A meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2016; 7: 44288-44298 [PMID: 27329588]
- 29 Pruneri G, Vingiani A, Bagnardi V, Rotmensz N, De Rose A, Palazzo A, Colleoni AM, Goldhirsch A, Viale G. Clinical validity of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes analysis in patients with triplenegative breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2016; 27: 249-256 [PMID: 26598540 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv571]
- 30 Adams S, Gray RJ, Demaria S, Goldstein L, Perez EA, Shulman LN, Martino S, Wang M, Jones VE, Saphner TJ, Wolff AC, Wood WC, Davidson NE, Sledge GW, Sparano JA, Badve SS. Prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in triple-negative breast cancers from two phase III randomized adjuvant breast cancer trials: ECOG 2197 and ECOG 1199. *J Clin Oncol* 2014; **32**: 2959-2966 [PMID: 25071121 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.55.0491]

P- Reviewer: Cihan YB, Dirier A, Houvenaeghel G, Shao R, Vinh-Hung V S- Editor: Cui LJ L- Editor: Filipodia E- Editor: Wang CH





WJCO | www.wjgnet.com



## Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com

